CHST

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

M.A. ~~M.Cr.C~~.NO. 1123 /2003 Single Bench

APPELLANTS
DEFENDANTS

1. Damarudhar, aged about 42 years, son of Tejram Adhariya occupation – agriculturist.

2. Chandrasekhar, aged about 39 years, son of Shri Tejram Adhariya, occupation – Agriculturist

Both are residents of village – Karwadih, Tah. Kharsiya, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

VERSUS

D+I

RESPONDENTS
PLAINTIFFS

1. Kaushalprasad Baani, s/o. Late Kalachand Baani, aged about 55 years.

2. Medaniprasad son of Late Kalachand Baani, aged about 60 years,

3. Laxman Prasad son of Late Kalachand Baani, aged about 52 years.

4. Badriprasad son of Late Kalachand Baani, aged about 50 years.

5. Jagdish Prasad son of Late Kalachand Baani, aged about 50 years.

6. Radha Bai, daughter of Late Kalachand Baani, aged about 42 years,

7. Ahilya Bai, son of Late Kalachand Baani, aged about 38 years.

P. R. No. 2591/03
Presented by Shri Roshan Dubey
dated 15.11.03

RECEIVED COPY
15/11/03
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

All are resident of village Karwadih, Tah. Kharsiya, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

8. Shyamlal son of Shri Chain Singh Gabel, aged about 40 years,

9. Lakhan son of Shri Chain Singh,aged about 35 years,

10. Mohan son of Shri Chain Singh, aged about 32 years

11. Thandaram, son of Ishchand Baani, aged about 35 years occupation service.

12. Bisahinbai Widow of Late Ishchand Baani, aged about 60 years

13. Hariharnath, son of Shivmurti Sharma, aged about 60 years occupation business.

14. Pullarnath, son of Shri Shivmurthi Sharma, aged about 55 years, occupation business.

15. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : The District Collector, Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

Res. No 8 to 10 & 14 Vill- Rilo Resp. No. 13 Res. Chhal Teh-Dharam Dharamjaigarh Resp. No. 11, 12 - R/o Vill. Karwadih Teh Kharsiya Distt Raigarh

APPEAL UNDER ORDER 43 RULE 1 AND 2 (r) OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908.

20/11/13

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक M.A. 1123/ सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	25.2.2005 Even on second turn, none appears for the appellants. On the last date of hearing i.e. 29.10.2004 also none appeared for the appellants. In the above circumstances, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

Soma

YOGENDRA SINGH KANWAR
Digitally signed by YOGENDRA SINGH KANWAR
Date: 2025.04.24 10:44:33 +0530